GEOFF YALE CONSULTANCY

## Geoff Yale Consultancy <br> Workshop Hand out.

## Tender Pricing Evaluation

## Blackpool College

Price 50\%
The Price evaluation shall comprise the following elements:

| Core Product Pricing | $50 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| Core Product Pricing |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All costs clearly outlined in accordance with <br> requirements of the proposal on a line by line basis |  | Weighting per <br> available mark <br> is 1.0 |
| Lowest total core contract Costs | $\mathbf{5 0}$ marks | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0} \%$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Lowest total core contract Costs | $\mathbf{4 5}$ Marks | $\mathbf{4 5 . 0 0} \%$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Lowest total core contract Costs | $\mathbf{4 0}$ Marks | $\mathbf{4 0 . 0 0} \%$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Lowest total core contract Costs | $\mathbf{3 5}$ Marks | $\mathbf{3 5 . 0 0} \%$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ Lowest total core contract Costs | $\mathbf{3 0}$ Marks | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 0} \%$ |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Lowest total core contract Costs | $\mathbf{2 5}$ marks | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0} \%$ |
| 7 7h Lowest total core contract Costs | $\mathbf{2 0}$ Marks | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 0} \%$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Lowest total core contract Costs | $\mathbf{1 5}$ Marks | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0 0} \%$ |
| $9^{\text {th }}$ Lowest total core contract Costs | $\mathbf{1 0}$ Marks | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 0} \%$ |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ Lowest total core contract Costs | $\mathbf{5}$ Marks | $\mathbf{5 . 0 0} \%$ |

## Trafford MBC

Price 60\% weighting
With regards to the price evaluation the lowest price tender will be awarded the maximum price score [60\%] and tenders thereafter be ranked and scored in accordance with how much more expensive their prices are compared to the lowest price.eg if a tenderer is $50 \%$ more expensive than the lowest price it will be awarded $50 \%$ less price points than the lowest price tender .lf $125 \%$ more expensive it will be awarded $125 \%$ less price score making this a minus score.

Pricing Calculation
Price \%

Bid 1 -
£15,000
Bid 2
£18,000
Bid 3
£19,000
$73.33 \%=36.66 \%$

## Price Example

The table below sets out how the scoring will be applied to Price. The weightings in the example below are for illustration. The maximum score available for pricing is $25 \%$.

| Pricing Schedule |  | Tender A | Tender B | Tender C | Tender D | Tender E |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | Total Cost | $£ 15,000$ | $£ 18,000$ | $£ 20,000$ | $£ 19,000$ | $£ 30,000$ |
| (b) | \% Difference above <br> lowest price* | 0 | 20 | 33.33333 | 26.66667 | 100 |
| (c) | Adjusted Cost Score <br> $[100-$ (b]** | 100 | 80 | 66.66667 | 73.33333 | 0 |
| (d) | Price Weighting | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
|  | Weighted score <br> $[(c) \mathbf{x}$ (d)] $/ \mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ |

* $=$ (This bidders price/lowest bidders price)*100-100
** $=(100-\%$ above lowest price $)$

In the example above, Tender E scored a score of zero as their bid price was $100 \%$ more than the lowest price.

